Lecture 4

Sampling:

Inverse Transform, Rejection Sampling, and Stratified Sampling



Announcements

- You will have upto 10am tomorrow on homework and subsequent homework
- You can take upto 5 late days (bumped up from 3). No more than 1 late day per homework





Last Time:

- Expectations and some notation
- The Law of large numbers
- Simulation and Monte Carlo for Integration
- Sampling and the CLT
- Errors in Monte Carlo



Expectation
$$E_f[X]$$

$$E_f X = \int x dF(x) = egin{cases} \sum_x x f(x) & ext{if X is discrete} \ \int x f(x) dx & ext{if X is continue} \end{cases}$$

LOTUS, if
$$Y = r(X)$$
:

$$E[Y] = \int r(x) dF(x)$$

If $r(X) = I_A(X)$, Indicator for event A, $p(X \in A) = E_F[I_A(X)] =$ frequentist probability



ous

Law of Large numbers (LLN)

• Expectations become sample averages. Convergence for large N.

$$E_f[g] = \int g(x) dF = \int g(x) f(x) dF = \int g(x) f(x) dF = \lim_{n o \infty} rac{1}{N} \sum_{x_i \sim f} g(x_i)$$

 foundation of Monte Carlo techniques for expectations and integrals, which allow us to replace integration with summation



dx

Central Limit Theorem

- note that we compute integrals from samples in one replication
- the sample averages are distributes around the true (distribution) expectation in a gaussian distribution with standard error $s = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$
- which mean to use depends on the accuracy you desire





Monte Carlo π

- LLN says throw rocks to compute expectation below
- which is probability of being in C
- If $f_{X,Y}(x,y) \sim Uniform(V)$:

$$=rac{1}{V}\int\int_{\in C}dxdy=rac{A}{V}$$

 $\bullet \ E_f[I_{\in C}(X,Y)] = \int \int_{\in C} f_{X,Y}(x,y) dx dy$

Formalize Monte Carlo Integration idea

For Uniform pdf: $U_{ab}(x) = 1/V = 1/(b-a)$

$$J=\int_a^b f(x)U_{ab}(x)\,dx=\int_a^b f(x)\,dx/V=0$$

From LOTUS and the law of large numbers:

$$I = V imes J = V imes E_U[f] = V imes \lim_{n o \infty} rac{1}{N} \sum_{x_i \sim U} f_i$$



I/V

 $f(x_i)$

Today: We need Samples

- to compute expectations, integrals and do statistics, we need samples
- we start that journey today
- inverse transform
- rejection sampling
- importance sampling: a direct, low-variance way to do integrals and expectations



Inverse transform



х



algorithm

The CDF *F* must be invertible!

- 1. get a uniform sample u from Unif(0,1)
- 2. solve for x yielding a new equation $x = F^{-1}(u)$ where F is the CDF of the distribution we desire.

3. repeat.



Why does it work?

 $F^{-1}(u) = \text{smallest x such that } F(x) >= u$

What distribution does random variable $y = F^{-1}(u)$ follow?

The CDF of y is $p(y \le x)$. Since F is monotonic:

$$p(y <= x) = p(F(y) <= F(x)) = p(u <= F(x))$$

F is the CDF of y, thus f is the pdf.



F(x)) = F(x)

Example: exponential

pdf:
$$f(x) = rac{1}{\lambda} e^{-x/\lambda}$$
 for $x \geq 0$ and $f(x) = 0$ other

$$u=\int_0^x rac{1}{\lambda} e^{-x'/\lambda} dx' = 1-e^{-x/\lambda}$$

Solving for *x*

$$x=-\lambda \ln(1-u)$$



rwise.



code





Box-Muller

- how to draw from a normal?
- the CDF integral is not analytically solvable.

$$I = rac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{x} e^{-x'^2/2} dx'$$

• can do numerical inversion (out of scope) or use box-muller trick. -trick involves starting with two Normals N(0,1)



$X \sim N(0,1), Y \sim N(0,1) \implies X, Y \sim N(0,1)N(0,1)$

pdf:

$$f_{XY}(x,y) = rac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-x^2/2} imes rac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-y^2/2} = rac{1}{2}$$

where $r^2 = x^2 + y^2$.

Using polar co-ordinates r and θ , we have...



 $rac{1}{2\pi} imes e^{-r^2/2}$

$$\Theta \sim Unif(0,2\pi), S = R^2 \sim Exp(1/$$

$$s=r^2=-2ln(1-u)$$

$$r=\sqrt{-2\,ln(u_1)}, heta=2\pi\,u_2$$

where u_1 and $u_2 \sim Unif(0,1)$.

Now, use $x = r \cos\theta$, $y = r \sin\theta$ to obtain Normal samples.

What is $f_{R,\Theta}(r,\theta)$?



 $^{\prime}2)$

General transforms of a pdf

Let
$$z = g(x)$$
 so that $x = g^{-1}(z)$

Define the Jacobian J(z) of the transformation $x = g^{-1}(z)$ as the partial derivatives matrix of the transformation.

Then:

$$f_Z(z) = f_X(g^{-1}(z)) imes det(J(z))$$



Let
$$g$$
 : $r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$, $tan(heta) = y/x$. Then g^{-1} : x $y = r sin(heta)$

$$J = igg(egin{array}{c} cos(heta) \, sin(heta) \ -rsin(heta) \, rcos(heta) igg), det(J) = r \end{array}$$

$$f_{R,\Theta}(r, heta) = f_{X,Y}(rcos(heta),rsin(heta))$$

$$=rac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-(rcos(heta))^2/2} imesrac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-(rsin(heta))^2/2}=rac{1}{2\pi}$$



$= r \cos(\theta),$

 $rac{1}{\pi} imes e^{-r^2/2} imes r.$

imes r

Rejection Sampling

- Generate samples from a uniform distribution with support on the rectangle
- See how many fall below y(x) at a specific x.



Algorithm

- 1. Draw x uniformly from $[x_{min}, x_{max}]$
- 2. Draw y uniformly from $[0, y_{max}]$
- 3. if y < f(x), accept the sample
- 4. otherwise reject it
- 5. repeat





example



Count 100294 Accepted 10000



problems

- determining the supremum may be costly
- the functional form may be complex for comparison
- even if you find a tight bound for the supremum, basic rejection sampling is very inefficient: low acceptance probability
- infinite support









Rejection on steroids

Introduce a **proposal density** g(x).

- g(x) is easy to sample from and (calculate the pdf)
- Some M exists so that M g(x) > f(x)in your entire domain of interest
- ideally g(x) will be somewhat close to f
- optimal value for M is the supremum over your domain of interest of f/g.
- probability of acceptance is 1/M







Algorithm

- 1. Draw x from your proposal distribution g(x)
- 2. Draw *y* uniformly from [0,1]
- 3. if y < f(x)/M g(x), accept the sample
- 4. otherwise reject it
- 5. repeat







Example



Count 23809 Accepted 10000



Importance sampling

The basic idea behind importance sampling is that we want to draw more samples where h(x), a function whose integral or expectation we desire, is large. In the case we are doing an expectation, it would indeed be even better to draw more samples where h(x)f(x) is large, where f(x) is the pdf we are calculating the integral with respect to.

Unlike rejection sampling we use all samples!!



$$E_f[h] = \int_V f(x)h(x)dx.$$

Choosing a proposal distribution g(x):

$$E_f[h] = \int h(x) g(x) rac{f(x)}{g(x)} dV
onumber \ E_f[h] = \lim_{N o \infty} rac{1}{N} \sum_{x_i \sim g(.)} h(x_i) rac{f(x_i)}{g(x_i)}$$

If $w(x_i) = f(x_i)/g(x_i)$:

$$E_f[h] = \lim_{N o \infty} rac{1}{N} \sum_{x_i \sim g(.)} w(x_i) h(x_i)$$





Stratified Sampling

Split the domain on which we wish to calculate an expectation or integral into strata, to minimize variance.

Intuitively, smaller samples have less variance.

Want
$$\mu = E_f[h] = \int_D h(x) f(x) \, dx$$

$$\hat{\mu} = (1/N)\sum_{x_k\sim f} h(x_k); E_R[\hat{\mu}] = \mu.$$





X Axis

Break the interval into M strata and take n_i samples for each strata *j*, such that $N = \sum_{j} n_{j}$.

$$\mu = \int_D h(x)f(x)dx = \sum_j \int_{D_j} h(x)f(x)dx$$

Say probability of being in region D_j is p_j . Then:

$$p_j = \int_{D_j} f(x) dx$$
. Thus pdf in the *j*th strata is:



x)dx

 $f_j(x) = rac{f(x)}{p_j}.$

Then

$$\mu = \sum_j p_j \int_{D_j} h(x) rac{f(x)}{p_j} dx = \sum_j p_j$$

where

$$\mu_j = E_{f_j}[h]$$
 and thus MC gives $\hat{\mu_j} = rac{1}{n_j}\sum_{x_ij}^{n_j}$



$p_j \mu_j,$

 $\sum_{x_ij\sim f_j}h(x_ij).$

Define
$$\hat{\mu_s} = \sum_j p_j \hat{\mu_j}$$
.

Then:

$$E_R[\hat{\mu_s}] = \sum_j p_j E_R[\hat{\mu_j}] = \sum_j p_j \mu_j$$

Thus $\hat{\mu_s}$ is an unbiased estimator of μ . Yay!



 $=\mu$

What about the variance?

$$Var_R[\hat{\mu_s}] = Var_R[\sum_j p_j \hat{\mu_j}] = \sum_j p_j^2 Var_R[\hat{\mu_j}]$$

where
$$\sigma_j^2 = \int_{D_j} (h(x) - \mu_j)^2 f_j(x) dx$$

is the "population variance" of h(x) with respect to pdf $f_i(x)$ in region of support D_j .





$$Var_R[\hat{\mu}] = rac{\sigma^2}{N} = rac{1}{N}\int_D (h(x)-\mu)^2 f$$

$$=rac{1}{N}\sum_{j}p_{j}\int_{D_{j}}(h(x)-\mu)^{2}f_{j}(x)dx=rac{1}{N}\sum_{j}p_{j}\left(\int_{D_{j}}h(x)^{2}f_{j}(x)dx+\mu^{2}\int_{D_{j}}f_$$

$$=rac{1}{N}igg(\sum_j p_j \int_{D_j} h(x)^2 f_j(x) dx - \mu_j$$

$$=rac{1}{N}iggl(\sum_j p_j[\sigma_j^2+\mu_j^2]-\mu^2iggr)$$



f(x)dx

 $f_j(x)dx-2\mu\int_{D_j}h(x)f_j(x)dx
ight).$



Remember
$$Var_R[\hat{\mu_s}] = \sum_j p_j^2 \frac{\sigma_j^2}{n_j}$$
 and assume that we get:

$$Var_R[\hat{\mu}] = rac{1}{N}\sum_j p_j \sigma_j^2 + rac{1}{N} \left(\sum_j p_j \mu_j^2 - \mu^2
ight)$$

stratified variance plus a quantity that can be be shown to be positive by the cauchy schwartz equality.



at $n_j=p_jN$

²) which is the be shown to be uality.

MLE for Logistic Regression

- example of a Generalized Linear Model (GLM)
- "Squeeze" linear regression through a **Sigmoid** function
- this bounds the output to be a probability
- What is the sampling Distribution?



Sigmoid function

This function is plotted below:

h = lambda z: 1./(1+np.exp(-z))zs=np.arange(-5,5,0.1) plt.plot(zs, h(zs), alpha=0.5);

Identify: $z = \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x}$. and $h(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x})$ with the probability that the sample is a '1' (y = 1).





Then, the conditional probabilities of y = 1 or y = 0 given a particular sample's features x are:

$$egin{aligned} P(y=1|\mathbf{x}) &= h(\mathbf{w}\cdot\mathbf{x}) \ P(y=0|\mathbf{x}) &= 1-h(\mathbf{w}\cdot\mathbf{x}). \end{aligned}$$

These two can be written together as

$$P(y|\mathbf{x},\mathbf{w}) = h(\mathbf{w}\cdot\mathbf{x})^y(1-h(\mathbf{w}\cdot\mathbf{x}))^y$$

BERNOULLI!!



(1-y)

Multiplying over the samples we get:

$$P(y|\mathbf{x},\mathbf{w}) = P(\{y_i\}|\{\mathbf{x}_i\},\mathbf{w}) = \prod_{y_i\in\mathcal{D}} P(y_i|\mathbf{x}_i,\mathbf{w}) = \prod_{y_i\in\mathcal{D}} h(\mathbf{w}\cdot\mathbf{x})$$

A noisy y is to imagine that our data \mathcal{D} was generated from a joint probability distribution P(x, y). Thus we need to model y at a given x, written as $P(y \mid x)$, and since P(x) is also a probability distribution, we have:

$$P(x,y) = P(y \mid x)P(x),$$



 $(\mathbf{x}_i)^{y_i}(1-h(\mathbf{w}\cdot\mathbf{x}_i))^{(1-y_i)}$

Indeed its important to realize that a particular sample can be thought of as a draw from some "true" probability distribution.

maximum likelihood estimation maximises the **likelihood of the** sample y,

$$\mathcal{L} = P(y \mid \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}).$$

Again, we can equivalently maximize

$$\ell = log(P(y \mid \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}))$$



Thus

$$egin{aligned} \ell &= log \left(\prod_{y_i \in \mathcal{D}} h(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x}_i)^{y_i} (1 - h(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x}_i))^{(1-x_i)}
ight. \ &= \sum_{y_i \in \mathcal{D}} log \left(h(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x}_i)^{y_i} (1 - h(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x}_i))^{(1-x_i)}
ight. \ &= \sum_{y_i \in \mathcal{D}} log h(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x}_i)^{y_i} + log (1 - h(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x}_i)^{y_i}
ight)
ight. \ &= \sum_{y_i \in \mathcal{D}} (y_i log (h(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x})) + (1 - y_i) log (1 - y_i)^{y_i})
ight)
ight. \end{aligned}$$



 $-y_i)$ $-y_i)$ $(i))^{(1-y_i)}$

$-h(\mathbf{w}\cdot\mathbf{x})))$